# NON-ENZYMATIC BROWNING-INDUCED WATER PLASTICIZATION Glass transition temperature depression and reaction kinetics determination using DSC

## Y. H. Roos, K. Jouppila and Bettina Zielasko<sup>\*</sup>

Department of Food Technology PO Box 27 (Viikki B) FIN-00014 University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland

# Abstract

An exotherm, observed in differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) scans of amorphous food materials above their glass transition temperature,  $T_{g}$ , may occur due to sugar crystallization, nonenzymatic browning, or both. In the present study, this exothermal phenomenon in initially anhydrous skim milk and lactose-hydrolyzed skim milk was considered to occur due to browning during isothermal holding at various temperatures above the initial T<sub>g</sub>. The nonenzymatic, Maillard browning reaction produces water that in amorphous foods, may plasticize the material and reduce  $T_g$ . The assumption was that quantification of formation of water from the  $T_g$  depression, which should not be observed as a result of crystallization under anhydrous conditions, can be used to determine kinetics of the nonenzymatic browning reaction. The formation of water was found to be substantial, and the amount formed could be quantified from the  $T_{e}$  measured after isothermal treatment at various temperatures using DSC. The rate of water formation followed zero-order kinetics, and its temperature dependence well above  $T_g$  was Arrhenius-type. Although water plasticization of the material occurred during the reaction, and there was a dynamic change in the temperature difference  $T-T_{\rm s}$ , the browning reaction was probably diffusioncontrolled in anhydrous skim milk in the vicinity of the  $T_g$  of lactose. This could be observed from a significant increase in activation energy. The kinetics and temperature dependence of the Maillard reaction in skim milk and lactose-hydrolyzed skim milk were of similar type well above the initial  $T_{g}$ . The difference in temperature dependence in the  $T_{g}$  region of lactose, but above that of lactose-hydrolyzed skim milk, became significant, as the rate in skim milk, but not in lactose-hydrolyzed skim milk, became diffusion-controlled. The results showed that rates of diffusion-controlled reactions may follow the Williams-Landel-Ferry (WLF) equation, as kinetic restrictions become apparent within amorphous materials in reactions exhibiting high rates at the same temperature under non-diffusion-controlled conditions.

Keywords: browning, crystallization, glass transition, kinetics, milk powder, water

<sup>\*</sup> Institut für Physikalische und Theoretische Chemie der Technischen Universität Braunschweig, Abteilung Angewandte Physikalische Chemie, Hans-Sommer-Straße 10, D-38106 Braunschweig, Germany.

## Introduction

Nonenzymatic browning reactions in biological and food materials are associated with the formation of brown discoloration, off-flavors and colors, loss of nutritional value, and textural changes [1, 2]. As classified by Hodge [1], there are three basic types of nonenzymatic browning reactions: 1) carbonyl-amino reactions of aldehydes, ketones, and reducing sugars with amines, amino acids, peptides, and proteins, i.e., Maillard reaction; 2) caramelization; and 3) oxidative browning reactions, such as oxidation of ascorbic acid in citrus fruits. The Maillard-type browning reaction involves changes in flavor that are often advantageous in food preparation and the flavor industry, but may be detrimental in production and storage of low- and intermediate-moisture foods. Especially foods containing reducing sugars and proteins, e.g., dairy powders, are high 1y susceptible to the browning reaction, and the decreased solubility, discoloration, and loss of nutritional value of dairy powders, due to the Maillard reaction, have been well established [3].

A number of studies have related the rate of browning in low-moisture foods to water content and water activity. Labuza et al. [4] proposed that the rate of browning in carbonyl-containing systems increases with increasing water content, up to a maximum that depends on specific conditions. The acceleration of the reaction by water was accounted for by the increased availability and mobility of reactants. Duckworth [5] stated that mobilization of solutes occurs above the Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET)-monolayer water content, resulting in increased reaction rates, until full mobilization at the rate maximum is followed by dilution and decreased reaction rate. Simatos and Karel [6] pointed out that solubilizing or plasticizing water promotes the browning reaction. The low rates of the Maillard reaction at low water contents suggest that the reaction may become diffusion-controlled rather than limited by dissolution of reactant solutes in sorbed water, as proposed by Duckworth [5], in highly concentrated, viscous foods [6, 7]. It has also been recognized that most low- and intermediate-moisture foods are amorphous materials that exist either as solid glasses or supercooled liquids [6, 8]. Presumably, the rate of a chemical reaction is affected by the glass transition of the food matrix, as reactant and product mobility is required, although browning has been observed to occur also in food systems below the glass transition temperature,  $T_g$  [9, 10]. Moreover, other glass transition-controlled changes, e.g., crystallization of amorphous sugars, above  $T_g$  may affect reaction rates.

Milk powders often contain lactose in the amorphous form. Amorphous sugars are highly hygroscopic and they may sorb large amounts of water from surroundings, resulting in crystallization during storage above a critical, temperature-dependent relative humidity [8, 11]. Crystallization of lactose may occur above  $T_g$ , with a rate dependent on the temperature difference,  $T-T_g$  [12].

The crystalline material may not sorb water, and in closed containers, nonhydrate water remains in the amorphous phase and causes a significant depression of the  $T_g$ . Therefore, crystallization may also increase the rate of diffusion-controlled reactions. An exotherm, occurring in differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) scans of dairy powders above  $T_g$ , has been attributed to instant crystallization of lactose [13, 14]. Roos and Karel [15] observed that the instant crystallization temperature,  $T_{cr}$ , of amorphous lactose decreased as  $T_g$  decreased similarly with increasing water content. However, an exotherm above  $T_g$  in materials, such as strawberries [16] and lactose-hydrolyzed skim milk [14], in which instant sugar crystallization is unlikely has also been observed. In anhydrous materials, these exotherms occurred well above 100°C, where the Maillard reaction is known to become more rapid.

The onset temperature of the exotherm for skim milk and lactose-hydrolyzed skim milk powders, observed by Jouppila and Roos [14], did not decrease with increasing water content at low water contents. Although the exotherm was considered to be due to sugar crystallization, it could also have been due to nonenzymatic browning [16]. In an anhydrous milk powder, sugar crystallization should not affect water content of the remaining amorphous material, unlike the Maillard reaction, which, even at the early stages of the reaction, is known to produce water [1, 2]. However, browning studies that have considered the enhancement of the reaction by water released from a crystallizing sugar [3, 9] have not reported on the effects of the water produced in the reaction, itself, on the browning rate. It would be expected that the water produced acts as a plasticizer in a material sealed in a container, such as a DSC pan or food package. The purpose of the present study was to determine the effect of the exothermal phenomenon, considered here to be due to browning during storage at various temperatures above  $T_g$ , on the  $T_g$  of initially anhydrous skim milk and lactose-hydrolyzed skim milk powders. The assumption was that quantification of water formation from the  $T_g$  depression, which should not be observed as a result of crystallization under anhydrous conditions, can be used to determine kinetics of the non-enzymatic browning reaction.

## Materials and methods

### Milk powders

Fresh skim milk (Valio Oy, Finland) and ultrapasteurized lactose-hydrolyzed skim milk (Valio Oy, Finland) were purchased from a local store. According to the manufacturer, the materials contained 4.9% w/w carbohydrates and 3.4% w/w protein. The total amount of solids was 9.14 and 9.49% w/w for skim milk and lactose-hydrolyzed skim milk, respectively [17]. In skim milk, the carbohydrate fraction was composed of lactose, and in the lactose-hydrolyzed skim

milk, of 1:1 mixture of the lactose-hydrolysis products, galactose and glucose. Samples of the materials were prepared on petri dishes (glass), frozen at  $-80^{\circ}$ C, and freeze-dried (Lyovac GT2, Amsco Finn-Aqua GmbH, Germany) at room temperature and pressure <0.1 mbar for at least 48 h. The freeze-dried materials were stored in evacuated vacuum desiccators over P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> for at least one week prior to the experiments, to ensure full dehydration of the materials.

## DSC

The DSC used was a Mettler 4000 TA instrument equipped with a DSC 30 low-temperature measuring cell, personal computer, and TA72AT.2 thermal analysis software. The instrument was calibrated, over the temperature range used, with *n*-hexane (*m.p.* -95.0°C), water (*m.p.* 0.0°C), and indium (*m.p.* 156.6°C). The heat-flow calibration was based on the latent heat of melting of indium,  $\Delta H_{\rm m} = 28.5 \,{\rm J g}^{-1}$ .

The dehydrated powders were prepared in aluminum, 40  $\mu$ l DSC pans. The sample size was 2–8 mg. Filled sample pans were stored in evacuated desiccators over P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> for at least 24 h, before the pans were hermetically sealed after breaking the vacuum with dry air. Duplicate samples were loaded in the DSC cell at 25°C, and the temperature was increased at the maximum rate (about 100°C min<sup>-1</sup>) to a predetermined holding temperature. The samples were kept isothermally at the holding temperature for various time periods (Fig. 2) and then cooled at the maximum cooling rate (about 30°C min<sup>-1</sup>) to -50°C. After cooling, skim milk and lactose-hydrolyzed skim milk samples were scanned at 5°C min<sup>-1</sup> from -50 to 140 and 110°C, respectively. An empty aluminum pan was used as a reference in all experiments. The DSC curves were analyzed for glass transition, and the average onset temperature of the  $T_g$  range for duplicate samples was taken as  $T_g$ . Examples of DSC curves for skim milk and lactose-hydrolyzed skim milk, obtained after isothermal holding at 120 and 110°C, respectively, and determination of  $T_g$  are shown in Fig. 1.

### Water plasticization

Water plasticization was assumed to depress the  $T_g$  of the materials according to Eq. (1), as reported by Jouppila and Roos [14].

$$T_{\rm g} = \frac{w_1 T_{\rm g1} + k_{\rm G} w_2 T_{\rm g2}}{w_1 + k_{\rm G} w_2} \tag{1}$$

$$w_2 = 1 - \frac{k_G(T_g - T_{g2})}{k_G(T_g - T_{g2}) + T_{g1} - T_g}$$
(2)

Equation (1), known as the Gordon-Taylor equation [18], defines  $T_g$  of a plasticized material as a function of  $T_g$  of the component compounds,  $T_{g1}$  and  $T_{g2}$ , their respective weight-fractions,  $w_1$  and  $w_2$ , and a constant,  $k_G$ . The  $T_{g1}$  and  $k_G$  values were obtained from Jouppila and Roos [14]. The values reported for lactose ( $T_g = 101^{\circ}$ C and  $k_G = 6.7$ ) and lactose-hydrolyzed skim milk ( $T_g = 49^{\circ}$ C and  $k_G = 8.0$ ) were used for skim milk and lactose-hydrolyzed skim milk, respectively, to obtain the weight-fraction of water,  $w_2$ , using Eq. (2). A  $T_{g2}$  of  $-135^{\circ}$ C [19] was used for amorphous water. However, it should be pointed out that although the onset  $T_g$  for lactose and skim-milk powder were the same, the transition in skim-milk powder occurred over a broader temperature range [14], probably due to the presence of proteins.

#### Browning rate and temperature dependence

The rate of formation of water in the Maillard reaction can be considered to follow Eq. (3), which states that the rate of water formation, via a bimolecular reaction, is defined by the concentrations of amino compounds, [A], and reducing sugars, [B], and the rate constant, k. Although the initial browning reaction, which produces brown-pigment precursors and water, is considered as a second-order reaction according to Eq. (3) [20], the approximate overall rate of the nonenzymatic browning reaction is likely to follow either zero- or first-order kinetics [2]. Therefore, a plot of either water content or logarithm of water content against time of the reaction at a constant temperature should show linearity, and k can be obtained from the slope of the straight line obtained. In the present study, zero-order kinetics gave a better fit, and Eq. (3) was reduced to Eq. (4), which was used in the kinetic analysis.

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}[\mathrm{H}_2\mathrm{O}]}{\mathrm{d}t} = k[\mathrm{A}][\mathrm{B}] \tag{3}$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}[\mathrm{H}_2\mathrm{O}]}{\mathrm{d}t} = k \tag{4}$$

The temperature dependence of rate constants of many reactions follows the Arrhenius equation (5), which states that the rate constant is a function of the pre-exponential factor, A, activation energy,  $E_{a}$ , gas constant,  $R = 8.31451 \text{ J}(\text{K mol})^{-1}$ , and absolute temperature, T. According to the Arrhenius equation, a plot of  $\ln k vs$ . 1/T is linear with a slope equivalent to  $E_{a}/R$ .

$$k = A e^{-(E_a/RT)}$$
(5)

In supercooled amorphous liquids, reactions may become diffusion-controlled, and therefore the plot of  $\ln k vs. 1/T$  can exhibit nonlinearity. In such cases, the temperature dependence may deviate from the Arrhenius-type, with  $E_a$ changing as the material approaches the glassy state [9], or it may follow Williams-Landel-Ferry (WLF)-type behavior above  $T_g$ , according to Eq. (6) [21–23]. Equation (6) defines the ratio of the rate constant,  $k_s$ , at a reference temperature,  $T_s$ , to the rate constant, k, at temperature, T, as a function of the WLF constants,  $C_1$  and  $C_2$ , and the temperature difference,  $T-T_s$  [22, 23].

$$\ln\frac{k_{\rm s}}{k} = \frac{-C_{\rm I}(T-T_{\rm s})}{C_{\rm 2} + (T-T_{\rm s})}$$
(6)

$$\frac{1}{\ln\frac{k_{\rm s}}{k}} = \frac{1}{-C_1} - \frac{C_2}{C_1(T-T_{\rm s})}$$
(7)

$$C_1' = \frac{C_1 C_2}{C_2 - \delta} \tag{8}$$

$$C_2' = C_2 - \delta \tag{9}$$

We fitted the Arrhenius equation to the water-formation data of lactose-hydrolyzed skim milk and both the Arrhenius and WLF equations to the data obtained for skim milk. In the WLF equation, the rate constant at  $T_s = 110^{\circ}$ C was taken as  $k_s$ , and the WLF constants were determined from a plot of  $1/(\ln k_s/k)$  vs.  $1/(T-T_s)$ , according to Eq.(7). Equations (8) and (9), where  $C'_1$ and  $C'_2$  are the WLF constants at a reference temperature  $T'_s = T_s - \delta$ , were used to determine the WLF constants when  $T_g$  was taken as the reference temperature, i.e.,  $T_g = T'_s$ . Thus, the rate constant at  $T_g$  could be predicted and the WLF equation fitted to the data with  $T_g$  as the reference temperature, as described by Ferry [24] and Peleg [25].

## **Results and discussion**

#### Browning and glass transition

Dehydrated skim milk and lactose-hydrolyzed skim milk are amorphous materials that show a clear glass transition in DSC curves when scanned over the  $T_g$  range [14]. The  $T_g$  decreases with increasing water content, as can be predicted from the Gordon-Taylor equation. Heating and isothermal holding of anhydrous skim milk and lactose-hydrolyzed skim milk at temperatures at and above 100°C resulted in significant discoloration and browning due to the Maillard reaction. The Maillard reaction caused a significant decrease in  $T_g$  (Fig. 1). As shown in Fig. 2, the  $T_g$  depression occurred rapidly with an increase in temperature, and it was accounted for by an increase in water content resulting from water produced in the reaction. It should be emphasized that the amount of water produced in the reaction was substantial, being several percent of the sample weight, and presumably caused significant plasticization. The Maillard reaction, especially in its later stages, also results in the formation of polymeric compounds [2] that are likely to increase  $T_g$  of the material. However, the possible increase in  $T_g$  was considered to be insignificant in comparison to the  $T_g$  depression caused by water plasticization, although the broadness of the transition seemed to increase with increasing isothermal holding time (Fig. 1). The increase in water content could also be detected by evaporation of water and weight loss during heating in nonhermetically sealed DSC pans. Such loss of water caused an increase in  $T_g$ , nearly to the initial  $T_g$  of the anhydrous milk powder.



Fig. 1 Typical DSC curves obtained for skim milk and lactose-hydrolyzed skim milk, before and after isothermal holding at and above 100°C. The glass transition temperature,  $T_g$ , taken as the onset temperature of the glass transition temperature range, decreased with increasing holding time



Fig. 2 Glass transition temperatures of initially anhydrous skim milk and lactose-hydrolyzed skim milk, after isothermal holding at 100 to 140°C for various times

#### Water content and reaction rate

The use of the Gordon-Taylor equation allowed prediction of the amount of water produced during isothermal holding of skim milk and lactose-hydrolyzed skim milk samples at various temperatures. The water content was predicted as a function of holding time from the  $T_g$  depression shown in Fig. 2. The estimated water contents of samples after holding at various temperatures were plotted against holding time (Fig. 3), which suggested that the increase in water content at each temperature was linear with time. Therefore, the formation of

water was assumed to follow zero-order kinetics, which is often found to apply to the nonenzymatic browning reaction in food materials [10, 26]. However, it should be noted that the true reaction kinetics are more complicated, as described by Labuza and Baisier [2]. The apparent rate constants, k, at various temperatures for the increase in water content were obtained by linear regression and are shown in Fig. 4.



Fig. 3 Increase in water content, as determined from the  $T_{g}$  depression using the Gordon-Taylor equation, for skim milk and lactose-hydrolyzed skim milk as a function of isothermal holding time at 100 to 140°C. The apparent rate constants, k, of water formation in the Maillard reaction were obtained from the slopes of the regression lines shown



Fig. 4 Apparent rate constant, k, for water formation in the Maillard reaction for skim milk and lactose-hydrolyzed skim milk, as a function of the isothermal holding temperature

#### Physical state and temperature dependence

The nonenzymatic browning reaction is unlikely to occur at room temperature in anhydrous skim milk and lactose-hydrolyzed skim-milk powders. As discussed by Jouppila and Roos [14], the  $T_g$  values of the anhydrous materials are well above room temperature. However, fairly small amounts of water are sufficient to depress the  $T_g$  to below 24°C. These critical water contents were 7.1 and 2.0% w/w for skim milk and lactose-hydrolyzed skim milk, respectively [14]. These water contents correspond to those reported as extreme limits for safe storage of skim-milk powders at normal storage conditions [14, 27]. It is also known that the rate of the nonenzymatic browning reaction in dairy powders increases significantly, when the materials are stored above 44% relative humidity (RH) [3], which corresponds to steady-state water adsorption by amorphous lactose that is more than sufficient to depress  $T_g$  to below room temperature. Therefore, it may be assumed that the nonenzymatic browning reaction at low water contents may become diffusion-controlled and extremely slow at temperatures below  $T_g$  of the material [7, 8]. However, Karmas et al. [9] have pointed out that the rate of the reaction in various food systems is affected by  $T_{\rm g}$ , but it is also dependent on water content, among other additional factors including sugar crystallization.

In the present study, the nonenzymatic browning kinetics were determined for skim milk and lactose-hydrolyzed skim milk at and above the initial  $T-T_g$ of 9 and 51°C, respectively. As shown in Fig. 4, the apparent rate constant for formation of water as a product of the reaction was lower for skim milk than for lactose-hydrolyzed skim milk at all temperatures studied. However, the apparent rate constant for skim milk increased significantly above  $T_g$  of amorphous lactose and approached that found for lactose-hydrolyzed skim milk at 120°C. It is also interesting to note that the apparent rate constant for lactose-hydrolyzed skim milk was relatively low, even at 51°C above  $T_g$ . Obviously, in both systems, concentrations of the reducing sugars and reactive amino compounds were high. The main difference was in the sugar concentration, as the hydro1ysis of one mole of the reducing sugar, lactose, produced two moles of reducing sugars, i.e., 1 mol galactose and 1 mol glucose. It is possible that the rate of the reaction was not significantly dependent on sugar concentration, as the reactive amino compounds and their concentration should have been the same in both systems. If the reactivity of the amino compounds in the skim-milk powders with glucose, galactose, and lactose was similar, the lower apparent rate constant for skim milk in the vicinity of the  $T_g$  of lactose can be explained by diffusion-controlled kinetics, due to the low  $T-T_g$  of the system.

The Arrhenius plots showing the apparent rate constant of water formation in browning of skim milk and lactose-hydrolyzed skim milk are presented in Fig. 5. The temperature dependence of the reaction rate in skim milk was found to deviate from Arrhenius kinetics, as was similarly found for the browning rate in model systems by Karmas *et al.* [9]. Therefore, the equation was fitted to the experimental data in two parts, which suggested that there was a significant increase in activation energy, as the temperature approached the  $T_g$  of lactose. This agreed with the results of Karmas *et al.* [9], which showed that the activa-



Fig. 5 Arrhenius plots for the rate of water formation in the Maillard reaction for skim milk and lactose-hydrolyzed skim milk. The activation energy,  $E_a$ , for the reaction in skim milk increased significantly, as the isothermal holding temperature approached the initial  $T_g$  of lactose

tion energy, close to  $T_g$  of food models, may have a value more than double that observed well above  $T_{g}$ . The activation energy for water formation in anhydrous skim-milk powder was found to be 244.2 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> and 112.6 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> at 9 to 19°C and 19 to 39°C above  $T_g$  of lactose, respectively. In general, the lower value corresponds to those reported for the nonenzymatic browning reaction occurring in dairy powders [28], but the higher value is well above those generally reported for nonenzymatic browning in foods [2]. Interestingly, the activation energy for water formation in lactose-hydrolyzed skim milk (114.3 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>) was almost the same as that in skim milk above 120°C. It may be assumed that above 120°C, water plasticization due to water formation occurred rapidly neglecting the diffusion-controlling effects of  $T_g$  in skim milk, although the initial  $T-T_{e}$  values were considerably different. This can be considered as a further indication of about equal reactivity of the amino compounds with galactose, glucose, and lactose, and that the temperature dependence of the Maillard reaction in skim-milk powders is similar, unless the reaction becomes diffusioncontrolled in the vicinity of  $T_{\rm g}$ .

The deviation of the rate of water formation in skim milk from Arrhenius kinetics at temperatures fairly close to  $T_g$  suggested that the reaction probably became diffusion-controlled. In particular, the facts that the rates of water formation in skim milk and lactose-hydrolyzed skim milk were almost the same well above  $T_g$ , but at significantly different initial  $T-T_g$ , and that the activation



Fig. 6 WLF plot of the apparent rate constant, k, for water formation in skim milk, as a function of the initial temperature difference between the isothermal holding temperature, T, and the  $T_g$  of anhydrous lactose. The apparent rate constant,  $k_g$ , at  $T_g$  was obtained after fitting the WLF equation to the experimental data using another reference temperature,  $T_s$ , and an experimental value for the apparent rate constant,  $k_s$ , at that temperature, which gave the relationship shown in the inset figure

1449

energies did not differ significantly were indicators of similar browning kinetics and temperature dependence of the reaction in the two materials. Since the lower reaction rate in skim milk at low  $T-T_g$  was probably caused by restricted diffusion of the reactants, although there was a change in  $T_g$  during the reaction, the WLF equation was fitted to the data. The WLF constants,  $C_1 = 6.47$  and  $C_2 = 23.08$ , were obtained from the relationship  $1/(\ln k_s/k) = -0.155 - 3.568$  $[1/(T-T_s)]$ , with  $R^2 = 0.999$ . The constants,  $C'_1 = 10.60$  and  $C'_2 = 14.08$ , were determined to apply when  $T'_s = T_g$ , which gave the rate constant,  $k_g$ , at  $T_g$  of  $1.704 \times 10^{-4}$  (% w/w)/min. These WLF constants differ from the "universal" constants, and the equation is probably valid over a temperature range much smaller than the common range from  $T_g$  to  $T_g + 100$  °C [21]. These differences can be accounted for, at least partly, by water formation and plasticization occurring within the material. As shown in Fig.6, the WLF equation gave a better fit than did the Arrhenius equation over the experimental temperature range. In a previous study, Nelson and Labuza [22] found that the WLF equation could be fitted to browning data above  $T_{g}$ , reported for a carbohydrate model system by Karmas et al. [9]. It may be assumed that the rate constant for a diffusioncontrolled reaction may follow WLF kinetics above  $T_g$ , provided that the reaction would occur with a significantly higher rate at the same temperature in a non-diffusion-controlled situation. We conclude that under conditions of the Maillard reaction applicable to the present study, the reaction in lactose-hydrolyzed skim milk was not diffusion-controlled, while the reaction in skim milk was diffusion-controlled, due to the much higher initial  $T_g$  of lactose. Therefore, the temperature dependence of the apparent rate constant in skim milk probably followed WLF kinetics. However, at normal food-storage temperatures, the nonenzymatic browning reaction rates are often much lower, and the observation of diffusion-controlled situations under hermetic conditions may become more difficult, due to the rapid depression of  $T_{g}$  and concurrent, dynamic increase in diffusion.

## Conclusions

The nonenzymatic browning occurring during heating of amorphous skimmilk powders is an exothermal phenomenon that affects the  $T_g$  of the material, due to formation of water and consequent plasticization. The formation of water can be quantified from the  $T_g$  depression, which allows kinetic analysis of the reaction. The rate of water formation in skim-milk powders followed zero-order kinetics, and its temperature dependence well above  $T_g$  was Arrhenius-type. Although water plasticization occurred during the reaction, the reaction was probably diffusion-controlled in anhydrous skim milk in the vicinity of the  $T_g$  of lactose. This could be observed from a significant change in activation energy. The temperature dependence close to  $T_g$  may follow WLF rather than Arrhenius kinetics, as a result of restricted diffusion. The kinetics and temperature dependence of the Maillard reaction were similar for skim milk and lactosehydrolyzed skim milk. The difference in temperature dependence, below  $T_g$  of lactose but above that of lactose-hydrolyzed skim milk, becomes significant as the rate in skim milk, but not in lactose-hydrolyzed skim milk, becomes diffusion-controlled. The results showed that diffusion-controlled reaction kinetics may become apparent within amorphous materials, for reactions exhibiting higher rates at the same temperature under non-diffusion-controlled conditions.

## References

- 1 J. E. Hodge, J. Agric. Food Chem., 1 (1953) 928.
- 2 T. P. Labuza and W. M. Baisier, in Physical Chemistry of Foods, eds. H. G. Schwartzberg and R. W. Hartel, Marcel Dekker, New York 1992, p. 595.
- 3 M. Saltmarch, M. Vagnini-Ferrari and T. P. Labuza, Prog. Food Nutr. Sci., 5 (1981) 331.
- 4 T. P. Labuza, S. R. Tannenbaum and M. Karel, Food Technol., 24 (1970) 543.
- 5 R. B. Duckworth, in Water Activity: Influences on Food Quality, eds. L. B. Rockland and G. F. Stewart, Academic Press, New York 1981, p. 295.
- 6 D. Simatos and M. Karel, in Food Preservation by Water Activity Control, ed. C. C. Seow, Elsevier, Amsterdam 1988, p. 1.
- 7 M. Karel, M. P. Buera and Y. Roos, in The Glassy State in Foods, eds. J. M. V. Blanshard and P. J. Lillford, Nottingham University Press, Loughborough 1993, p. 13.
- 8 H. Levine and L. Slade, in Food Structure Its Creation and Evaluation, eds. J. M. V. Blanshard and J. R. Mitchell, Butterworths, London 1988, p. 149.
- 9 R. Karmas, M. P. Buera and M. Karel, J. Agric. Food Chem., 40 (1992) 873.
- 10 Y. H. Roos and M.-J. Himberg, J. Agric. Food Chem., 42 (1994) 893.
- 11 B. Makower and W. B. Dye, J. Agric. Food Chem., 4 (1956) 72.
- 12 Y. Roos and M. Karel, J. Food Sci., 57 (1992) 775.
- 13 A. Raemy, R. F. Hurrel and J. Löliger, Thermochim. Acta, 65 (1983) 81.
- 14 K. Jouppila and Y. H. Roos, J. Dairy Sci., 77 (1994) 2907.
- 15 Y. Roos and M. Karel, Biotechnol. Prog., 6 (1990) 159.
- 16 Y. H. Roos, J. Food Sci., 52 (1987) 146.
- 17 K. Jouppila and Y. H. Roos, J. Dairy Sci., 77 (1994) 1798.
- 18 M. Gordon and J. S. Taylor, J. Appl. Chem., 2 (1952) 493.
- 19 G. P. Johari, A. Hallbrucker and E. Mayer, Nature, 330 (1987) 552.
- 20 T. P. Labuza and M. Saltmarch, in Water Activity: Influences on Food Quality, eds. L. B. Rockland and G. F. Stewart, Academic Press, New York 1981, p. 605.
- 21 L. Slade and H. Levine, Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr., 30 (1991) 115.
- 22 K. A. Nelson and T. P. Labuza, J. Food Eng., 22 (1994) 271.
- 23 Y. H. Roos, Phase Transitions in Foods, Academic Press, San Diego, CA 1995.
- 24 J. D. Ferry, Viscoelastic Properties of Polymers, 3rd ed., John Wiley & Sons, New York 1980.
- 25 M. Peleg, Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr., 32 (1992) 59.
- 26 H. C. Warmbier, R. A. Schnickels and T. P. Labuza, J. Food Sci., 41 (1976) 981.
- 27 S. Warburton and S. W. Pixton, J. Stored Prod. Res., 14 (1978) 143.
- 28 T. P. Labuza and M. Saltmarch, J. Food Sci., 47 (1981) 92.